IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.S.RADHAKRISHNAN
&
THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANTONY DOMINIC

WEDNESDAY, THE 25TH JULY 2007 / 3RD SRAVANA 1929

OP.No. 1862 of 1999(V)

PETITIONER:

R.BALAKRISHNAN NAIR, PUTHIYEDATHU HOUSE
AMMANKOVIL ROAD,NORTH PARUR-683 513,
ERNAKULAM DISTRICT.

BY ADV. SRI.M.R.RAJENDRAN NAIR
SRI.V.P.RAMESAN

RESPONDENTS:
----

1. SRI.V.G.K.MENON, PRESIDENT,
TRAVANCORE DEVASWOMBOARD,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-3.

2. THE CHIEF VIGILANCE AND SECURITY OFFICER,
TRAVANCORE DEVASWOM BOARD,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-3.

3. K.NARAYANANKUTTY, SUB GROUP OFFICER,
PARAVUR.

4. K.B.NARAYANANKUTTY, TREASURER,
PARAVUR KANNANKULANGARA SREEKRISHNASWAMY
KSHETROPADESAKA SAMITHY.

BY ADV. SRI.P.G.PARAMESWARA PANICKER FOR R1

THIS ORIGINAL PETITION HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 25/07/2007, THE COURT ON DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

OP NO.1862/1998

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS

EXT.P1: PROGRAMME NOTICE, 1997.

EXT.P2: STATEMENT OF COLLECTION OF MONEY BY COUPENS.

EXT.P3: LETTER FROM T.A.JOY.

EXT.P4, P5 & P6: COPIES OF RECEIPTS ISSUED FOR THE RENT FOR ELEPHANT AND ANACHAMAYAM.

EXT.P7: COPY OF RESOLUTIN DT.5.12.96.

EXT.P8 TO P23: VOUCHERS ISSUED BY THE SECURITY OF THE UPADESAKA SAMITHY

EXT.P24: COPY OF REPRESENTATION DT.27.10.1998 BY THE PETITIONER TO THE 1ST RESPONDENT.

EXT.P25: DIRECTION ISSUED BY THE DIVISION BENCH OF the HON'BLE HIGHCOURT OF KERALA ON 4.10.1996.

EXT.26: TRUE COPY OF THE EXCESS ENPENDITURE ACCOUNTS.

EXT.P27: TRUE COPY OF THE STATEMENT

//TRUE COPY//

K.S.RADHAKRISHNAN & ANTONY DOMINIC, JJ.

==========

O.P. NO. 1862 OF 1999

==========

Dated this the 25th day of July, 2007

J U D G M E N T

Radhakrishnan, J.

The original petition has been preferred seeking a writ of mandamus directing the first respondent to conduct an enquiry of the alleged misappropriation and the irregularity on the part of the 3rd and 4th respondents in the conduct of "Utsavam" for 1997 of the Paravur, Kannankulangara Sreekrishna Swamy Temple. We are not in a position to know whether Board has conducted any enquiry or not. Further we notice that petitioner has also not approached the authorities. We therefore find no reason to entertain this Original Petition and the same is dismissed.

K.S.RADHAKRISHNAN, JUDGE.

ANTONY DOMINIC, JUDGE.

Rp